arXiv:math/0509218v1 [math.AP] 9 Sep 2005

AN IMPROVED BILINEAR ESTIMATE FOR BENJAMIN-ONO TYPE EQUATIONS

SEBASTIAN HERR

ABSTRACT. A bilinear estimate in Fourier restriction norm spaces with applications to the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{aligned} u_t - |D|^\alpha u_x + uu_x &= 0 \quad \text{in } (-T,T)\times\mathbb{R} \\ u(0) &= u_0 \end{aligned}$$

is proved, for $1 < \alpha < 2$. As a consequence, local well-posedness in $H^s(\mathbb{R}) \cap \dot{H}^{-\omega}(\mathbb{R})$ follows for

$$s > -\frac{3}{4}(\alpha - 1) \text{ and } \omega = 1/\alpha - 1/2$$

This extends to global well-posedness for all $s \geq 0$.

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{aligned} u_t - |D|^\alpha u_x + uu_x &= 0 \quad \text{in } (-T,T)\times\mathbb{R} \\ u(0) &= u_0 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

for $1 < \alpha < 2$ and we are interested in well-posedness results in low regularity Sobolev spaces.

Our aim is to give an improvement to our previous results [7], where we proved that the Cauchy problem is locally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbb{R}) \cap \dot{H}^{-\omega}(\mathbb{R})$ for $s \geq 1 - \alpha/2$ and $\omega = \frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}$. Due to the conserved Hamiltonian this also implied global well-posedness for $s \geq \frac{\alpha}{2}$. Moreover, using the counterexamples found by Molinet, Saut and Tzvetkov in [13] it was shown that the condition on the low frequencies is sharp in the sense that for $\omega < \frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}$ the flow map fails to be C^2. For further references and results we refer the reader to the works of Colliander, Kenig and Staffiliani [3] and Kenig and Koenig [9] and the introduction of [7]. After [7] was completed, we learned that these results were also an improvement to a similar approach by Molinet and Ribaud [12].

Here, by a refined bilinear estimate we observe that the same local well-posedness result holds for all $s > -\frac{3}{4}(\alpha - 1)$, which immediately implies global well-posedness for all $s \geq 0$.

Our analysis includes the range $1 < \alpha < 2$, without the endpoints $\alpha = 1, 2$. Very recently, Kenig and Ionescu [8] studied global well-posedness of the Benjamin-Ono equation ($\alpha = 1$) for real valued data in L^2 (see also [2, 14]). We observe that in the limit for $\alpha \to 2$ our lower bound on s tends to $-\frac{3}{4}$ which coincides with the results of Kenig, Ponce and Vega [11] for the Korteweg-de Vries equation and the low frequency condition disappears. In the limit for $\alpha \to 1$ the lower bound for s tends to 0 and $\omega \to \frac{1}{2}$. We believe that the lower bound for s is optimal, but this is work in progress.

Acknowledgments. The author is grateful to M. Hadac and H. Koch for discussions on the subject. Moreover, the author would like to thank A. Ionescu and C.E. Kenig for interesting remarks on the Benjamin-Ono case.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q53 (Primary); 35B30, 35S10, 76B15 (Secondary).

Key words and phrases. Benjamin-Ono type equation, bilinear estimate, well-posedness.

2. NOTATION AND DEFINITION OF THE SPACES

Let $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the space of Schwartz functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and define the Fourier transform by

$$\mathcal{F}f(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix\cdot\xi} f(x)\,dx$$

The partial Fourier transform w.r.t. $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ($x \in \mathbb{R}$) will be denoted by $\mathcal{F}_t$ ($\mathcal{F}_x$). $|D|^s$ denotes the Fourier multiplier operator with $\mathcal{F}|D|^s v(\xi) = |\xi|^s \mathcal{F}v(\xi)$, and J^s is the operator with symbol $\langle\xi\rangle^s$.

We write

$$W_\alpha(t) : H^{(s,\omega)} \to H^{(s,\omega)}, \mathcal{F}_x W_\alpha(t) u_0(\xi) = e^{it\xi|\xi|^\alpha} \mathcal{F}_x u_0(\xi)$$

for the solution operator of the linear homogeneous problem, which defines a unitary group on $H^{(s,\omega)}$.

Throughout this work let $\psi \in C_0^\infty([-2,2])$ be a nonnegative, symmetric function with $\psi|_{[-1,1]} \equiv 1$ and let $\psi_T(t) := \psi(t/T)$.

We use the same spaces as in [7]

Definition 2.1. For $s \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \omega < \frac{1}{2}$ we define the Sobolev space $H^{(s,\omega)}$ as the completion of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{H^{(s,\omega)}}^2 := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle\xi\rangle^{2s+2\omega} |\xi|^{-2\omega} |\widehat{u}(\xi)|^2\,d\xi. \tag{2}$$

Sometimes it is convenient to identify $H^{(s,\omega)}$ and $H^s(\mathbb{R}) \cap \dot{H}^{-\omega}(\mathbb{R})$. Our resolution space, a variant of the Bourgain spaces introduced in [1], will be

Definition 2.2. For $0 \leq \omega < \frac{1}{2}$ and $s, b \in \mathbb{R}$ we define the space $X_{s,\omega,b}$ as the completion of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{X_{s,\omega,b}}^2 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\xi|^{-2\omega} \langle\xi\rangle^{2s-2\alpha\omega} \langle|\tau| + |\xi|^{1+\alpha}\rangle^{2\omega} \langle\tau - \xi|\xi|^\alpha\rangle^{2b} |\mathcal{F}u(\tau,\xi)|^2\,d\tau d\xi. \tag{3}$$

For $T > 0$ we define the restriction norm space

$$X_{s,\omega,b}^T := \{u|_{[-T,T]} \mid u \in X_{s,\omega,b}\}$$

with norm

$$\|u\|_{X_{s,\omega,b}^T} = \inf\{\|\widetilde{u}\|_{X_{s,\omega,b}} \mid u = \widetilde{u}|_{[-T,T]},\ \widetilde{u} \in X_{s,\omega,b}\}.$$

3. MAIN RESULTS

Our aim is to prove the following bilinear estimate.

Theorem 3.1. *Let* $1 < \alpha < 2$, $s \geq s_0 > -\frac{3}{4}(\alpha - 1)$ *and* $\omega = \frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}$. *There exists* $b' > -\frac{1}{2}$ *and* $b \in (\frac{1}{2}, b'+1)$ *such that*

$$\|\partial_x(u_1 u_2)\|_{X_{s,\omega,b'}} \leq c\|u_1\|_{X_{s,\omega,b}} \|u_2\|_{X_{s_0,\omega,b}} + \|u_1\|_{X_{s_0,\omega,b}} \|u_2\|_{X_{s,\omega,b}} \tag{4}$$

for all $u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

This leads to local well-posedness by an application of the contraction mapping principle in a straightforward way. For the general outline of the proof we refer the reader to e.g. [1, 4, 11]. The minor modifications of these arguments in the $X_{s,\omega,b}$ spaces are carried out in detail in our previous work [7].

Theorem 3.2. *Let* $1 < \alpha < 2$ *and* $\omega = \frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}$. *Then, for* $s \geq s_0 > -\frac{3}{4}(\alpha - 1)$ *there exists* $b > \frac{1}{2}$ *and a non-increasing function* $T : (0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$, *such that for any* $u_0 \in H^{(s,\omega)}$ *and* $T = T(\|u_0\|_{H^{(s_0,\omega)}})$, *there exists a solution*

$$u \in X_{s,\omega,b}^T \subset C\big([-T,T], H^{(s,\omega)}\big)$$

of the Cauchy problem

$$u_t - |D|^\alpha u_x + uu_x = 0 \quad \textit{in } (-T,T)\times\mathbb{R}$$
$$u(0) = u_0$$

which is unique in the class of $X^T_{s_0,\omega,b}$ *solutions. Moreover, for any* $r > 0$ *there exists* $T = T(r)$*, such that for* $B = \{v_0 \in H^{(s,\omega)} \mid \|v_0\|_{H^{(s_0,\omega)}} \le r\}$ *the flow map*

$$F : H^{(s,\omega)} \supset B \to C\big([-T,T], H^{(s,\omega)}\big) \cap X^T_{s,\omega,b} \quad , \; u_0 \mapsto u$$

is analytic.

Remark 1. Here, solution always means fixed point of (an extension of) the operator

$$\Phi_T(u)(t) = \psi(t)W_\alpha(t)u_0 - \frac{1}{2}\psi_T(t)\int_0^t W_\alpha(t-t')\partial_x(u^2)(t')\,dt'$$

in $X_{s,\omega,b}$. These solutions are solutions in the sense of distributions at least[1] for $s \ge 0$.

Together with the a priori bound from Lemma 6.1 this also shows the following

Theorem 3.3. *Let* $1 < \alpha < 2$ *and* $\omega = \frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}$ *as well as* $s_0 > -\frac{3}{4}(\alpha - 1)$*. Then, for* $s \ge 0$ *there exists* $b > \frac{1}{2}$*, such that for every* $T > 0$ *and real valued* $u_0 \in H^{(s,\omega)}$ *there exists a real valued solution*

$$u \in X^T_{s,\omega,b} \subset C\big([-T,T], H^{(s,\omega)}\big)$$

of the Cauchy problem

$$u_t - |D|^\alpha u_x + uu_x = 0 \quad \textit{in } (-T,T)\times\mathbb{R}$$
$$u(0) = u_0$$

which is unique in $X^T_{s_0,\omega,b}$*. Moreover, the flow map*

$$F : H^{(s,\omega)} \to C\big([-T,T], H^{(s,\omega)}\big) \cap X^T_{s,\omega,b} \quad , \; u_0 \mapsto u$$

is real analytic.

4. PREPARATORY LEMMATA

In this section we will summarize our main tools for the proof of the bilinear estimate. First, we recall the $L^4_t L^\infty_x$ Strichartz estimate.

Lemma 4.1. *For* $b > \frac{1}{2}$ *we have*

$$\|J^{\frac{\alpha-1}{4}} u\|_{L^4_t L^\infty_x} \le c\|u\|_{X_{0,0,b}} \tag{5}$$

Proof. From [10] Theorem 2.1, we know that

$$\||D|^{\frac{\alpha-1}{4}} W_\alpha(t)u_0\|_{L^4_t L^\infty_x} \le c\|u_0\|_{L^2}$$

By the general properties of Bourgain spaces, see e.g. [4] Lemme 3.3, the estimate

$$\||D|^{\frac{\alpha-1}{4}} u\|_{L^4_t L^\infty_x} \le c\|u\|_{X_{0,0,b}} \tag{6}$$

follows. By smooth cutoffs in frequency, we split u into a low frequency part u^{low} with

$$\mathcal{F}u^{low}(\tau,\xi) = \psi(\xi)\mathcal{F}u(\tau,\xi)$$

and a high frequency part $u^{high} := u - u^{low}$. Then,

$$\|J^{\frac{\alpha-1}{4}} u\|_{L^4_t L^\infty_x} \le \|J^{\frac{\alpha-1}{4}} u^{low}\|_{L^4_t L^\infty_x} + \|J^{\frac{\alpha-1}{4}} u^{high}\|_{L^4_t L^\infty_x}$$

By an application of the Sobolev inequality, the first part is bounded by

$$c\|J^{\frac{\alpha+1}{4}+\varepsilon} u^{low}\|_{L^4_t L^2_x} \le c\|u\|_{L^4_t L^2_x} \le c\|u\|_{X_{0,0,b}}$$

---

[1] Even for $s < 0$ one can still use some smoothing properties to verify this

whereas the second term is bounded by

$$c\||D|^{-\frac{\alpha-1}{4}}J^{\frac{\alpha-1}{4}}u^{high}\|_{X_{0,0,b}} \leq c\|u\|_{X_{0,0,b}}$$

due to (6), which gives the desired estimate. $\square$

The next Lemma contains a bilinear Strichartz type estimate in the spirit of [5, 6]. For the proof we refer to our previous work [7].

Lemma 4.2. *We define the bilinear operator* I_*^s *via*

$$\mathcal{F}\, I_*^s(u_1,u_2)(\tau,\xi) = \int_{\substack{\xi=\xi_1+\xi_2\\ \tau=\tau_1+\tau_2}} \left||\xi_1|^{2s}-|\xi_2|^{2s}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{F}u_1(\tau_1,\xi_1)\mathcal{F}u_2(\tau_2,\xi_2)\, d\tau_1 d\xi_1$$

For $b>\frac{1}{2}$

$$\left\|I_*^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(u_1,u_2)\right\|_{L^2_{xt}} \leq c\|u_1\|_{X_{0,0,b}}\|u_2\|_{X_{0,0,b}} \quad , u_1,u_2\in X_{0,0,b} \tag{7}$$

Moreover, we define $K_*^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ *as*

$$\mathcal{F}\, K_*^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(u_1,u_2)(\tau,\xi) = \int_{\substack{\xi=\xi_1+\xi_2\\ \tau=\tau_1+\tau_2}} ||\xi|^{\alpha}-|\xi_1|^{\alpha}|^{\frac{1}{2}}\, \mathcal{F}\overline{u}_1(\tau_1,\xi_1)\mathcal{F}u_2(\tau_2,\xi_2)\, d\tau_1 d\xi_1$$

$K_*^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ *is the formal adjoint of* $u_2\mapsto I_*^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(u_1,u_2)$ *with respect to* L^2_{xt} *and for* $b>\frac{1}{2}$

$$\left\|K_*^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(u_1,u_2)\right\|_{X_{0,0,-b}} \leq c\|u_1\|_{X_{0,0,b}}\|u_2\|_{L^2_{xt}} \quad , u_1\in X_{0,0,b}\ , u_2\in L^2_{xt} \tag{8}$$

Finally, we note the elementary resonance relation, which is crucial to exploit the weights in our resolution space.

Lemma 4.3. *Let* $1<\alpha<2$*. Define*

$$h(\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi) = \xi|\xi|^{\alpha}-\xi_1|\xi_1|^{\alpha}-\xi_2|\xi_2|^{\alpha}$$

Then, for $\xi=\xi_1+\xi_2$ *it holds that*

$$|h(\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi)| \geq c|\xi_{\min}||\xi_{\max}|^{\alpha}, \tag{9}$$

with $|\xi_{\min}|:=\min\{|\xi_1|,|\xi_2|,|\xi|\}$ *and* $|\xi_{\max}|:=\max\{|\xi_1|,|\xi_2|,|\xi|\}$*.*

5. PROOF OF THE BILINEAR ESTIMATE

Let us fix notation. We define $\sigma=|\tau|+|\xi|^{1+\alpha}$ and $\sigma_i=|\tau_i|+|\xi_i|^{1+\alpha}$ as well as $\lambda=\tau-\xi|\xi|^{\alpha}$ and $\lambda_i=\tau_i-\xi_i|\xi_i|^{\alpha}$. Moreover, we set

$$f_i(\tau_i,\xi_i) = |\xi_i|^{-\omega}\langle\xi_i\rangle^{s-\alpha\omega}\langle\lambda_i\rangle^{b}\langle\sigma_i\rangle^{\omega}\mathcal{F}u_i(\tau_i,\xi_i)$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}v_i(\tau_i,\xi_i) := f_i(\tau_i,\xi_i)\langle\lambda_i\rangle^{-b}.$$

We use the notation

$$\int_* g(\tau_1,\xi_1)h(\tau_2,\xi_2) := \int_{\substack{\xi=\xi_1+\xi_2\\ \tau=\tau_1+\tau_2}} g(\tau_1,\xi_1)h(\tau_2,\xi_2)\, d\tau_1 d\xi_1$$

We first consider the case $s=s_0=-\frac{3}{4}(\alpha-1)+\varepsilon$ for small $\varepsilon>0$. Our goal is to bound

$$\|\partial_x(u_1u_2)\|_{X_{s,\omega,b'}} = \left\||\xi|^{1-\omega}\langle\xi\rangle^{s-\alpha\omega}\langle\lambda\rangle^{b'}\langle\sigma\rangle^{\omega}\int_*\prod_{i=1}^{2}\frac{|\xi_i|^{\omega}\langle\xi_i\rangle^{\alpha\omega-s}f_i(\tau_i,\xi_i)}{\langle\lambda_i\rangle^{b}\langle\sigma_i\rangle^{\omega}}\right\|_{L^2_{\tau,\xi}}$$

by the product of the L^2 norms of the f_i, where we may assume that $0\leq f_i\in\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Due to the symmetry in ξ_1,ξ_2 it suffices to consider the subregion of the domain of integration where $|\xi_1|\leq|\xi_2|$. By the convolution constraint $\xi=\xi_1+\xi_2$ we then have $|\xi|\leq 2|\xi_2|$. This region is splitted again into

1. Region D_1: $4|\xi_1| \leq |\xi_2|$. There, $|\xi_1| \leq \frac{1}{4}|\xi_2| \leq \frac{1}{3}|\xi| \leq \frac{2}{3}|\xi_2|$.
2. Region D_2: $|\xi_1| \leq |\xi_2| \leq 4|\xi_1|$. There, $|\xi| \leq 2|\xi_2|$, $|\xi| \leq 5|\xi_1|$.

Let A, A_1, A_2 be subregions of the domain of integration, such that in A we have $\langle\lambda\rangle \geq \langle\lambda_1\rangle, \langle\lambda_2\rangle$, in A_1 we have $\langle\lambda_1\rangle \geq \langle\lambda\rangle, \langle\lambda_2\rangle$ and in A_2 the inequalities $\langle\lambda_2\rangle \geq \langle\lambda\rangle, \langle\lambda_1\rangle$ hold.

We first consider the region D_1 and subdivide it into two parts $D_1 = D_{11} \cup D_{12}$, where in D_{11} we have $|\xi_1| \leq 2$ and in D_{12} we have $|\xi_1| \geq 2$. In D_1 we see by Lemma 4.3

$$|\lambda - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2| = |h(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi)| \geq c|\xi_1||\xi|^\alpha$$

because $|\xi_1| = |\xi_{\min}|$ and $|\xi| \leq 2|\xi_{\max}|$.

Now we start the analysis in the subregion D_{11} where the arguments remain close to those in [7]. We exploit

$$|\xi|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} = |\xi|^{\alpha\omega} \leq c|\xi_1|^{-\omega}(\chi_A\langle\lambda\rangle^\omega + \chi_{A_1}\langle\lambda_1\rangle^\omega + \chi_{A_2}\langle\lambda_2\rangle^\omega).$$

Therefore in D_{11} the bilinear estimate follows from

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2} \|J_{11,k}\|_{L^2} \leq c\prod_{i=1}^{2}\|f_i\|_{L^2}, \tag{10}$$

where

$$J_{11,0} = \int_* \chi_{D_{11}\cap A}|\xi|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-\omega}\langle\xi\rangle^{s-\alpha\omega}\langle\lambda\rangle^{b'+\omega}\langle\sigma\rangle^\omega|\xi_2|^\omega\prod_{i=1}^{2}\frac{f_i(\tau_i,\xi_i)\langle\xi_i\rangle^{\alpha\omega-s}}{\langle\lambda_i\rangle^b\langle\sigma_i\rangle^\omega}$$

and for $k = 1, 2$

$$J_{11,k} = \int_* \chi_{D_{11}\cap A_k}|\xi|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-\omega}\langle\xi\rangle^{s-\alpha\omega}\langle\lambda\rangle^{b'}\langle\sigma\rangle^\omega|\xi_2|^\omega\langle\lambda_k\rangle^\omega\prod_{i=1}^{2}\frac{f_i(\tau_i,\xi_i)\langle\xi_i\rangle^{\alpha\omega-s}}{\langle\lambda_i\rangle^b\langle\sigma_i\rangle^\omega}$$

We observe that in D_{11}

$$\langle\xi_2\rangle^{\alpha\omega-s}\langle\xi\rangle^{s-\alpha\omega} \leq c \text{ and } \langle\xi_1\rangle^{\alpha\omega-s} \leq c \tag{11}$$

In addition, we use $b' + \omega \leq 0$ and $|\xi_2|^\omega \leq c|\xi|^\omega$ to show that

$$\|J_{11,0}\|_{L^2} \leq c\left\|\int_* \chi_{D_{11}\cap A}|\xi|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\langle\sigma\rangle^\omega\prod_{i=1}^{2}\frac{f_i(\tau_i,\xi_i)}{\langle\lambda_i\rangle^b\langle\sigma_i\rangle^\omega}\right\|_{L^2}$$

Because of the convolution constraint $(\tau,\xi) = (\tau_1,\xi_1) + (\tau_2,\xi_2)$ we also have

$$\frac{\langle\sigma\rangle}{\langle\sigma_1\rangle\langle\sigma_2\rangle} \leq c\frac{1}{\min_{i=1,2}\langle\sigma_i\rangle} \leq c \tag{12}$$

which implies

$$\|J_{11,0}\|_{L^2} \leq c\left\|\int_* \chi_{D_{11}\cap A}|\xi|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\prod_{i=1}^{2}\frac{f_i(\tau_i,\xi_i)}{\langle\lambda_i\rangle^b}\right\|_{L^2}$$

We observe that in D_{11}

$$|\xi|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \leq c||\xi_2|^\alpha - |\xi_1|^\alpha|^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

such that with (7)

$$\begin{aligned}\|J_{11,0}\|_{L^2} &\leq c\left\|\int_* ||\xi_2|^\alpha - |\xi_1|^\alpha|^{\frac{1}{2}}\prod_{i=1}^{2}\frac{f_i(\tau_i,\xi_i)}{\langle\lambda_i\rangle^b}\right\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq c\left\|I_*^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(v_1,v_2)\right\|_{L^2} \leq c\prod_{i=1}^{2}\|v_i\|_{X_{0,0,b}} = c\prod_{i=1}^{2}\|f_i\|_{L^2}\end{aligned}$$

since $b > 1/2$. For $J_{11,1}$ we use (11) and (12) again and get

$$\|J_{11,1}\|_{L^2} \leq c \left\| \int_* \chi_{D_{11}\cap A_1} \frac{|\xi|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{\min_{i=1,2}\langle\sigma_i\rangle^\omega} \langle\lambda\rangle^{b'} f_1(\tau_1,\xi_1)\langle\lambda_1\rangle^{\omega-b} f_2(\tau_2,\xi_2)\langle\lambda_2\rangle^{-b} \right\|_{L^2}$$

We may assume that $|\lambda_1| \geq 2|\lambda|$, because otherwise the same argument as for $J_{11,0}$ applies. If $\langle\sigma_1\rangle \leq \langle\sigma_2\rangle$ we have $\langle\lambda_1\rangle^\omega \leq \min_{i=1,2}\langle\sigma_i\rangle^\omega$. If we suppose that $\langle\sigma_2\rangle \leq \langle\sigma_1\rangle$ we see

$$|\lambda_1| = |\tau_1 - \xi_1|\xi_1|^\alpha| = |\tau - \tau_2 - \xi|\xi|^\alpha + \xi|\xi|^\alpha - \xi_1|\xi_1|^\alpha| \leq |\lambda| + 16|\sigma_2|$$

since we are in region D_{11}. This implies $\langle\lambda_1\rangle \leq c\langle\sigma_2\rangle$ and we also have

$$\langle\lambda_1\rangle^\omega \leq c \min_{i=1,2}\langle\sigma_i\rangle^\omega.$$

Therefore,

$$\|J_{11,1}\|_{L^2} \leq c \left\| \int_* \chi_{D_{11}\cap A_1} |\xi|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \langle\lambda\rangle^{b'} f_1(\tau_1,\xi_1)\langle\lambda_1\rangle^{-b} f_2(\tau_2,\xi_2)\langle\lambda_2\rangle^{-b} \right\|_{L^2}$$

In D_{11} we have $|\xi|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \leq c||\xi_2|^\alpha - |\xi_1|^\alpha|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and by assumption $b' \leq 0$, such that we may proceed as above with J_0 and use the estimate (7) to conclude

$$\|J_{11,1}\|_{L^2} \leq c \left\| \int_* ||\xi_2|^\alpha - |\xi_1|^\alpha|^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^2 \frac{f_i(\tau_i,\xi_i)}{\langle\lambda_i\rangle^b} \right\|_{L^2} \leq c \prod_{i=1}^2 \|f_i\|_{L^2}$$

For $J_{11,2}$, we have by (11) and (12)

$$\|J_{11,2}\|_{L^2} \leq c \left\| \int_* \chi_{D_{11}\cap A_2} |\xi|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \langle\lambda\rangle^{b'} f_1(\tau_1,\xi_1)\langle\lambda_1\rangle^{-b} f_2(\tau_2,\xi_2)\langle\lambda_2\rangle^{\omega-b} \right\|_{L^2}$$

In $D_{11}\cap A_2$ we have

$$|\xi|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \leq c||\xi|^\alpha - |\xi_1|^\alpha|^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ and } \langle\lambda_2\rangle^{\omega-b} \leq \langle\lambda\rangle^{\omega-b}$$

such that, because of $b' + \omega \leq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|J_{11,2}\|_{L^2} &\leq c \left\| K_*^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\overline{v}_1, \mathcal{F}^{-1}f_2) \right\|_{X_{0,0,-b}} \\ &\leq c\|v_1\|_{X_{0,0,b}} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}f_2\|_{L^2} = c\prod_{i=1}^2 \|f_i\|_{L^2}\end{aligned}$$

for $b > 1/2$ by the estimate (8).

Let us now consider the region D_{12}. We define the contributions

$$J_{12,0} = \int_* \chi_{D_{12}\cap A} |\xi|^{1-\omega}\langle\xi\rangle^{s-\alpha\omega}\langle\lambda\rangle^{b'}\langle\sigma\rangle^\omega \prod_{i=1}^2 \frac{|\xi_i|^\omega\langle\xi_i\rangle^{\alpha\omega-s} f_i(\tau_i,\xi_i)}{\langle\lambda_i\rangle^b\langle\sigma_i\rangle^\omega}$$

and, for $k = 1, 2$,

$$J_{12,k} = \int_* \chi_{D_{12}\cap A_k} |\xi|^{1-\omega}\langle\xi\rangle^{s-\alpha\omega}\langle\lambda\rangle^{b'}\langle\sigma\rangle^\omega \prod_{i=1}^2 \frac{|\xi_i|^\omega\langle\xi_i\rangle^{\alpha\omega-s} f_i(\tau_i,\xi_i)}{\langle\lambda_i\rangle^b\langle\sigma_i\rangle^\omega}$$

In the subregion $D_{12}\cap A$ we use

$$|\xi|^{-\alpha b'}\langle\xi_1\rangle^{-b'} \leq c\langle\lambda\rangle^{-b'}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\|J_{12,0}\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq c \left\| \int_* \chi_{D_{12}\cap A} |\xi|^{1-\omega+\alpha b'}\langle\xi\rangle^{s-\alpha\omega}\langle\sigma\rangle^\omega\langle\xi_1\rangle^{b'+\alpha\omega-s}\langle\xi_2\rangle^{\alpha\omega-s} \prod_{i=1}^2 \frac{f_i(\tau_i,\xi_i)|\xi_i|^\omega}{\langle\lambda_i\rangle^b\langle\sigma_i\rangle^\omega} \right\|_{L^2}\end{aligned}$$

Using $\langle\xi_2\rangle^{\alpha\omega-s}\langle\xi\rangle^{s-\alpha\omega}\leq c$ and (12) this is bounded by

$$\left\|\int_* \chi_{D_{12}\cap A}|\xi|^{1+\alpha b'}\frac{\langle\xi_1\rangle^{b'+\alpha\omega-s+\omega}}{\min_{i=1,2}\langle\sigma_i\rangle^{\omega}}\prod_{i=1}^{2}\frac{f_i(\tau_i,\xi_i)}{\langle\lambda_i\rangle^{b}}\right\|_{L^2}$$

Now, for $b'+\omega\leq 0$ we estimate

$$|\xi|^{1+\alpha b'}\leq c|\xi|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\langle\xi_1\rangle^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}+\alpha b'}$$

since $1-\frac{\alpha}{2}+\alpha b'\leq 0$. Moreover,

$$1-\frac{\alpha}{2}+\alpha b'+b'+\alpha\omega-s+\omega-(1+\alpha)\omega=1-\frac{\alpha}{2}+\alpha b'+b'-s$$

which is negative for

$$s\geq\alpha(-\frac{1}{2}+b')+1+b'$$

Therefore, choosing $b'\leq\min\{-\omega,-\frac{1}{4}\}$, we continue for $s\geq-\frac{3}{4}(\alpha-1)$ with

$$\left\|\int_*\chi_{D_{12}\cap A}|\xi|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\prod_{i=1}^{2}\frac{f_i(\tau_i,\xi_i)}{\langle\lambda_i\rangle^{b}}\right\|_{L^2}\leq c\left\|I_*^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(v_1,v_2)\right\|_{L^2}\leq c\prod_{i=1}^{2}\|f_i\|_{L^2}$$

Next, we study the contribution of $J_{12,1}$. We may assume that $\langle\lambda_1\rangle\geq 2\langle\lambda\rangle$, because otherwise we use the same argument as in $D_{12}\cap A$. In $D_{12}\cap A_1$ we exploit

$$|\xi|\langle\xi_1\rangle^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\leq c\langle\lambda_1\rangle^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$$

We observe that

$$|\lambda_1|=|\tau_1-\xi_1|\xi_1|^{\alpha}|\leq|\lambda|+c\langle\sigma_2\rangle\Rightarrow\langle\lambda_1\rangle\leq c\langle\sigma_2\rangle$$

and therefore

$$\langle\lambda_1\rangle^{\omega}\leq c\min_{i=1,2}\langle\sigma_i\rangle^{\omega}$$

This shows

$$\|J_{12,1}\|_{L^2}\leq c\left\|\int_*\chi_{D_{12}\cap A_1}\langle\lambda\rangle^{b'}\langle\xi_1\rangle^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}+\omega+\alpha\omega-s}\langle\lambda_1\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-b}\langle\lambda_2\rangle^{-b}\prod_{i=1}^{2}f_i(\tau_i,\xi_i)\right\|_{L^2}$$

We choose $b>\frac{1}{2}$ and in D_{12} we have $|\xi_1|\leq|\xi_2|$. Since we only consider $s\leq\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\alpha}{2}$ (which means $\varepsilon\leq\frac{\alpha-1}{4}$), we have

$$\|J_{12,1}\|_{L^2}\leq c\left\|\int_*\langle\lambda\rangle^{b'}\langle\xi_2\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\alpha}{2}-s}\langle\lambda_2\rangle^{-b}\prod_{i=1}^{2}f_i(\tau_i,\xi_i)\right\|_{L^2}$$

With $b'\leq-\frac{1}{4}$ and Sobolev in time we see

$$\begin{aligned}\|J_{12,1}\|_{L^2}&\leq c\left\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}f_1J^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\alpha}{2}-s}v_2\right\|_{L_t^{4/3}L_x^2}\\&\leq c\|f_1\|_{L_t^2L_x^2}\|J^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\alpha}{2}-s}v_2\|_{L_t^4L_x^\infty}\end{aligned}$$

Finally, by (5)

$$\|J^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\alpha}{2}-s}v_2\|_{L_t^4L_x^\infty}\leq c\|v_2\|_{X_{0,0,b}}=\|f_2\|_{L^2}$$

if $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\alpha}{2}-s\leq\frac{\alpha-1}{4}$, which is equivalent to $s\geq-\frac{3}{4}(\alpha-1)$.

Now we turn to the contribution of $D_{12}\cap A_2$, where we use

$$|\xi|^{-\alpha b'}\langle\xi_1\rangle^{-b'}\leq c\langle\lambda_2\rangle^{-b'}$$

and it follows

$$\begin{aligned}&\|J_{12,2}\|_{L^2}\\&\leq c\left\|\int_* \chi_{D_{12}\cap A_2}|\xi|^{1+\alpha b'}\frac{\langle\xi_1\rangle^{b'+\omega+\alpha\omega-s}}{\min_{i=1,2}\langle\sigma_i\rangle^{\omega}}\langle\lambda\rangle^{b'}\langle\lambda_2\rangle^{-b'-b}\langle\lambda_1\rangle^{-b}\prod_{i=1}^{2}f_i(\tau_i,\xi_i)\right\|_{L^2}\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\langle\lambda\rangle^{b'}\langle\lambda_2\rangle^{-b'-b}\leq\langle\lambda\rangle^{-b}$$

and

$$\min_{i=1,2}\langle\sigma_i\rangle^{\omega}\geq\langle\xi_1\rangle^{(1+\alpha)\omega}$$

and if $b'\leq-\omega$ we have $1+\alpha b'-\frac{\alpha}{2}\leq 0$ and therefore

$$|\xi|^{1+\alpha b'}\leq c|\xi|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\langle\xi_1\rangle^{1+\alpha b'-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$$

If $b'\leq-\frac{1}{4}$ and $s\geq-\frac{3}{4}(\alpha-1)$ we estimate $b'-s+1+\alpha b'-\frac{\alpha}{2}\leq 0$ and

$$|\xi|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\leq c||\xi|^{\alpha}-|\xi_1|^{\alpha}|^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and therefore, by the dual bilinear Strichartz estimate (8)

$$\begin{aligned}\|J_{12,2}\|_{L^2}&\leq c\left\|\int_*||\xi|^{\alpha}-|\xi_1|^{\alpha}|^{\frac{1}{2}}\langle\lambda\rangle^{-b}\langle\lambda_1\rangle^{-b}\prod_{i=1}^{2}f_i(\tau_i,\xi_i)\right\|_{L^2}\\&\leq c\prod_{i=1}^{2}\|f_i\|_{L^2}\end{aligned}$$

This completes the discussion of the subregion D_1.

Let us now consider the domain D_2, where $|\xi_1|\leq|\xi_2|\leq 4|\xi_1|$, $|\xi|\leq 2|\xi_2|$ and $|\xi|\leq 5|\xi_1|$. We subdivide $D_2=D_{21}\cup D_{22}$, where in

$$D_{21}\ :\ \xi_1\xi_2>0\text{ or }|\xi|\geq\frac{1}{2}|\xi_1|\text{ or }|\xi_2|\leq 1$$

and in

$$D_{22}\ :\ \xi_1\xi_2<0\text{ and }|\xi|\leq\frac{1}{2}|\xi_1|\text{ and }|\xi_2|\geq 1$$

additionally hold. As above, we define for $j=1,2$

$$J_{2j,0}=\int_*\chi_{D_{2j}\cap A}|\xi|^{1-\omega}\langle\xi\rangle^{s-\alpha\omega}\langle\lambda\rangle^{b'}\langle\sigma\rangle^{\omega}\prod_{i=1}^{2}\frac{|\xi_i|^{\omega}\langle\xi_i\rangle^{\alpha\omega-s}f_i(\tau_i,\xi_i)}{\langle\lambda_i\rangle^{b}\langle\sigma_i\rangle^{\omega}}$$

and for $k=1,2$

$$J_{2j,k}=\int_*\chi_{D_{2j}\cap A_k}|\xi|^{1-\omega}\langle\xi\rangle^{s-\alpha\omega}\langle\lambda\rangle^{b'}\langle\sigma\rangle^{\omega}\prod_{i=1}^{2}\frac{|\xi_i|^{\omega}\langle\xi_i\rangle^{\alpha\omega-s}f_i(\tau_i,\xi_i)}{\langle\lambda_i\rangle^{b}\langle\sigma_i\rangle^{\omega}}$$

We start with the discussion of D_{21}, where all frequencies are of comparable size or smaller then a constant, which shows that

$$\frac{|\xi|^{1-\omega}\langle\xi\rangle^{s-\alpha\omega}|\xi_1|^{\omega}|\xi_2|^{\omega}\langle\sigma\rangle^{\omega}}{\langle\xi_1\rangle^{s-\alpha\omega}\langle\xi_2\rangle^{s-\alpha\omega}\langle\sigma_1\rangle^{\omega}\langle\sigma_2\rangle^{\omega}}\leq c\langle\xi\rangle^{1-s}$$

Therefore,

$$\|J_{21,0}\|_{L^2}\leq c\left\|\int_*\chi_{D_{21}\cap A}\langle\xi\rangle^{1-s}\langle\lambda\rangle^{b'}\prod_{i=1}^{2}\frac{f_i(\tau_i,\xi_i)}{\langle\lambda_i\rangle^{b}}\right\|_{L^2}$$

In A we have

$$\langle\xi\rangle^{-b'(1+\alpha)}\leq c\langle\lambda\rangle^{-b'}$$

and we use the Strichartz estimate (5) to conclude

$$\begin{aligned}\|J_{21,0}\|_{L^2} &\leq c\left\|\int_* \langle\xi\rangle^{1-s+b'(1+\alpha)-\frac{\alpha-1}{4}}\langle\xi_1\rangle^{\frac{\alpha-1}{4}}\mathcal{F}v_1(\tau_1,\xi_1)\mathcal{F}v_2(\tau_2,\xi_2)\right\|_{L^2}\\ &\leq c\|J^{\frac{\alpha-1}{4}}v_1\|_{L^4_tL^\infty_x}\|v_2\|_{L^4_tL^2_x} \leq c\prod_{i=1}^{2}\|f_i\|_{L^2}\end{aligned}$$

since $1-s+b'(1+\alpha)-\frac{\alpha-1}{4}\leq 0$, which is equivalent to $\frac{5}{4}+b'-\frac{\alpha}{4}+\alpha b'\leq s$. This is fulfilled for $b'\leq -\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. In A_1 we have

$$\langle\xi\rangle^{b(1+\alpha)}\leq c\langle\lambda_1\rangle^b$$

and we use Sobolev in time and the Strichartz estimate (5) to conclude for $b'\leq -\frac{1}{4}$

$$\begin{aligned}\|J_{21,1}\|_{L^2} &\leq c\left\|\int_* \langle\xi\rangle^{1-s-b(1+\alpha)-\frac{\alpha-1}{4}}\langle\lambda\rangle^{b'}f_1(\tau_1,\xi_1)\langle\xi_2\rangle^{\frac{\alpha-1}{4}}\mathcal{F}v_2(\tau_2,\xi_2)\right\|_{L^2}\\ &\leq c\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}f_1J^{\frac{\alpha-1}{4}}v_2\|_{L^{4/3}_tL^2_x}\leq c\|f_1\|_{L^2_{tx}}\|J^{\frac{\alpha-1}{4}}v_2\|_{L^4_tL^\infty_x}\\ &\leq c\prod_{i=1}^{2}\|f_i\|_{L^2}\end{aligned}$$

The same argument applies to $J_{21,2}$ by exchanging the roles of f_1, f_2.

Finally, we turn to the contributions from the region D_{22}. Here, we have $\xi_1\xi_2<0$. Therefore, we may write $\xi_1=\beta\xi_2$ for $\beta\in[-1,-\frac{1}{4}]$. By the mean value theorem, this shows

$$||\xi_1|^\alpha-|\xi_2|^\alpha|^{\frac{1}{2}}=||\beta|^\alpha-1|^{\frac{1}{2}}\,|\xi_2|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\geq\frac{1}{2}||\beta|-1|^{\frac{1}{2}}|\xi_2|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}=\frac{1}{2}|\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}}|\xi_2|^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} \tag{13}$$

Let us start with the subregion A. We have

$$\langle\sigma\rangle^\omega\leq c\langle\lambda\rangle^\omega+c\chi_{|\xi|\geq 1}\langle\xi\rangle^{\omega+\alpha\omega}$$

which shows

$$\begin{aligned}\|J_{22,0}\|_{L^2}\leq & c\left\|\int_*\chi_{D_{22}\cap A}|\xi|^{1-\omega}\langle\xi\rangle^{s-\alpha\omega}\langle\lambda\rangle^{b'+\omega}\prod_{i=1}^{2}\frac{|\xi_i|^\omega\langle\xi_i\rangle^{\alpha\omega-s}f_i(\tau_i,\xi_i)}{\langle\lambda_i\rangle^b\langle\sigma_i\rangle^\omega}\right\|_{L^2}\\ &+c\left\|\int_*\chi_{D_{22}\cap A}\chi_{|\xi|\geq 1}\langle\xi\rangle^{1+s}\langle\lambda\rangle^{b'}\prod_{i=1}^{2}\frac{|\xi_i|^\omega\langle\xi_i\rangle^{\alpha\omega-s}f_i(\tau_i,\xi_i)}{\langle\lambda_i\rangle^b\langle\sigma_i\rangle^\omega}\right\|_{L^2}\end{aligned}$$

Using

$$|\xi|^{-b'-\omega}\langle\xi_2\rangle^{-\alpha b'-\alpha\omega}\leq c\langle\lambda\rangle^{-b'-\omega}$$

and (13) we see that the first term is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|\int_*\chi_{D_{22}\cap A}|\xi|^{1+b'}\langle\xi\rangle^{s-\alpha\omega}\langle\xi_2\rangle^{-2s+\alpha b'+\alpha\omega}\prod_{i=1}^{2}\frac{f_i(\tau_i,\xi_i)}{\langle\lambda_i\rangle^b}\right\|_{L^2}\\ \leq & c\left\|\int_*\langle\xi\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+b'+s-\alpha\omega}\langle\xi_2\rangle^{-2s+\alpha b'+\alpha\omega-\frac{\alpha-1}{2}}\,||\xi_1|^\alpha-|\xi_2|^\alpha|^{\frac{1}{2}}\prod_{i=1}^{2}\frac{f_i(\tau_i,\xi_i)}{\langle\lambda_i\rangle^b}\right\|_{L^2}\end{aligned}$$

If $b'\leq -\frac{1}{4}$ and $\varepsilon\leq\frac{1}{4}$, then $\frac{1}{2}+b'+s-\alpha\omega\leq 0$. Moreover, for $b'\leq -\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon$, we have $-2s+\alpha b'+\alpha\omega-\frac{\alpha-1}{2}\leq 0$. Then, by the bilinear Strichartz estimate (7) this is bounded by

$$\ldots\leq c\left\|\int_*||\xi_1|^\alpha-|\xi_2|^\alpha|^{\frac{1}{2}}\prod_{i=1}^{2}\frac{f_i(\tau_i,\xi_i)}{\langle\lambda_i\rangle^b}\right\|_{L^2}\leq c\prod_{i=1}^{2}\|f_i\|_{L^2}$$

For the second term we use

$$|\xi|^{-b'}\langle\xi_2\rangle^{-\alpha b'} \leq c\langle\lambda\rangle^{-b'}$$

and find with (13)

$$\begin{aligned} \ldots \leq c & \left\| \int_* \chi_{D_{22}\cap A}\chi_{|\xi|\geq 1}\langle\xi\rangle^{s+1+b'}\langle\xi_2\rangle^{\alpha b'-2s}\prod_{i=1}^{2}\frac{f_i(\tau_i,\xi_i)}{\langle\lambda_i\rangle^b}\right\|_{L^2} \\ \leq c & \left\| \int_* \langle\xi\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+s+b'}\langle\xi_2\rangle^{\alpha b'-2s-\frac{\alpha-1}{2}}\left||\xi_1|^\alpha - |\xi_2|^\alpha\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}\prod_{i=1}^{2}\frac{f_i(\tau_i,\xi_i)}{\langle\lambda_i\rangle^b}\right\|_{L^2} \end{aligned}$$

We only consider $\varepsilon < \frac{3}{4}(\alpha-1)$. Then, for $b' \leq -\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{4}(\alpha-1)-\varepsilon$ we observe that $\frac{1}{2}+s+b' \leq 0$. Moreover $\alpha b' - 2s - \frac{\alpha-1}{2} \leq 0$ for $b' \leq -\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon$. Using the bilinear Strichartz estimate (7), we arrive at

$$\|J_{22,0}\|_{L^2} \leq c\prod_{i=1}^{2}\|f_i\|_{L^2}$$

Next, we consider the subregion A_1. We have

$$\langle\sigma\rangle^\omega \leq c\langle\lambda_1\rangle^\omega + c\chi_{|\xi|\geq 1}\langle\xi\rangle^{\omega+\alpha\omega}$$

which shows

$$\begin{aligned} \|J_{22,1}\|_{L^2} \leq & c\left\| \int_* \chi_{D_{22}\cap A_1}|\xi|^{1-\omega}\langle\xi\rangle^{s-\alpha\omega}\langle\xi_2\rangle^{-2s}\langle\lambda\rangle^{b'}\langle\lambda_1\rangle^{-b+\omega}\langle\lambda_2\rangle^{-b}\prod_{i=1}^{2}f_i(\tau_i,\xi_i)\right\|_{L^2} \\ & + c\left\| \int_* \chi_{D_{22}\cap A_1}\chi_{|\xi|\geq 1}\langle\xi\rangle^{1+s}\langle\lambda\rangle^{b'}\langle\lambda_1\rangle^{-b}\langle\lambda_2\rangle^{-b}\langle\xi_2\rangle^{-2s}\prod_{i=1}^{2}f_i(\tau_i,\xi_i)\right\|_{L^2} \end{aligned}$$

As above, by

$$|\xi|^{-b'-\omega}\langle\xi_2\rangle^{-\alpha b'-\alpha\omega} \leq c\langle\lambda_1\rangle^{-b'-\omega}$$

we see that the first term is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_* \chi_{D_{22}\cap A}|\xi|^{1+b'}\langle\xi\rangle^{s-\alpha\omega}\langle\xi_2\rangle^{-2s+\alpha b'+\alpha\omega}\langle\lambda\rangle^{-b}\langle\lambda_2\rangle^{-b}\prod_{i=1}^{2}f_i(\tau_i,\xi_i)\right\|_{L^2} \\ \leq c & \left\| \int_* \langle\xi\rangle^{1+b'+s-\alpha\omega}\langle\xi_2\rangle^{-2s+\alpha b'+\alpha\omega-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\left||\xi|^\alpha - |\xi_2|^\alpha\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}\langle\lambda\rangle^{-b}\langle\lambda_2\rangle^{-b}\prod_{i=1}^{2}f_i(\tau_i,\xi_i)\right\|_{L^2} \end{aligned}$$

Here, we used that due to $|\xi| \leq \frac{3}{4}|\xi_2|$ and $|\xi_2| \geq 1$ we have

$$\left||\xi|^\alpha - |\xi_2|^\alpha\right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq c\langle\xi_2\rangle^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$$

By estimating $\langle\xi\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \langle\xi_2\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and with the same restrictions on s, b' as above we may apply the dual bilinear Strichartz estimate (8) and get

$$\ldots \leq c\left\| \int_* \left||\xi|^\alpha - |\xi_2|^\alpha\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}\langle\lambda\rangle^{-b}\langle\lambda_2\rangle^{-b}\prod_{i=1}^{2}f_i(\tau_i,\xi_i)\right\|_{L^2} \leq c\prod_{i=1}^{2}\|f_i\|_{L^2}$$

For the second term we use

$$|\xi|^{-b'}\langle\xi_2\rangle^{-\alpha b'} \leq c\langle\lambda_1\rangle^{-b'}$$

and find

$$\begin{aligned} \ldots &\le c \left\| \int_* \langle\xi\rangle^{1+s+b'} \langle\xi_2\rangle^{\alpha b' - 2s - \frac{\alpha}{2}} \big||\xi|^\alpha - |\xi_2|^\alpha\big|^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle\lambda\rangle^{-b}\langle\lambda_2\rangle^{-b} \prod_{i=1}^{2} f_i(\tau_i,\xi_i) \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\le c \prod_{i=1}^{2} \|f_i\|_{L^2} \end{aligned}$$

by (8) with the same restrictions on s, b', b as in the region A, since $\langle\xi\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \le \langle\xi_2\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Finally, we turn to the region A_2. In D_{22} the frequencies ξ_1 and ξ_2 are of comparable size and due to $|\xi| \le \frac{1}{2}|\xi_1|$ and $|\xi_1| \ge \frac{1}{4}|\xi_2| \ge \frac{1}{4}$ we have

$$\big||\xi|^\alpha - |\xi_1|^\alpha\big|^{\frac{1}{2}} \ge c\langle\xi_1\rangle^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$$

Now we use the same argument as A_1 with the roles of f_1, f_2 exchanged.

This finishes the proof of the bilinear estimate for $s = s_0 = -\frac{3}{4}(\alpha-1)+\varepsilon$, for $\varepsilon \le \frac{\alpha-1}{4}$. The restrictions on b' can be summarized to

$$b' \le \min\{-\frac{1}{4}, -\omega, -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{4}(\alpha-1) - \varepsilon\}$$

For b we assumed $\frac{1}{2} < b < b' + 1$. Now we turn to the case $s > s_0 = -\frac{3}{4}(\alpha-1)+\varepsilon$. Let $\rho = s - s_0$. Because of

$$\langle\xi\rangle^\rho \le c\langle\xi_1\rangle^\rho + c\langle\xi_2\rangle^\rho$$

we see

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_x(u_1u_2)\|_{X_{s,\omega,b'}} &\le c\|\partial_x(J^\rho u_1 u_2)\|_{X_{s_0,\omega,b'}} + \|\partial_x(u_1 J^\rho u_2)\|_{X_{s_0,\omega,b'}} \\ &\le c\|u_1\|_{X_{s,\omega,b}}\|u_2\|_{X_{s_0,\omega,b}} + \|u_1\|_{X_{s_0,\omega,b}}\|u_2\|_{X_{s,\omega,b}} \end{aligned}$$

This proves that for all $s \ge s_0 > -\frac{3}{4}(\alpha-1)$ we find suitable $b' \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ and $b \in (\frac{1}{2}, b'+1)$ such that the bilinear estimate holds true. □

6. AN A PRIORI BOUND

This section is devoted to the proof of an a priori bound for the $H^{(0,\omega)}$ norm, which allows an iteration of the local argument to prove global well-posedness for $s \ge 0$.

Lemma 6.1. *Let* $s \ge 0$. *There exists* $C > 0$*, such that for all smooth, real valued solutions* u *of* (1)*, we have*

$$\sup_{t\in[-T,T]} \|u(t)\|_{H^{(0,\omega)}} \le C\|u(0)\|_{H^{(0,\omega)}} + CT\|u(0)\|^2_{H^{(0,\omega)}} \tag{14}$$

Proof. We easily verify the conservation law

$$\|u(t)\|^2_{L^2} = \|u(0)\|^2_{L^2}, \quad t \in (-T,T)$$

Therefore it suffices to prove an a priori estimate for the low frequency part in $\dot{H}^{-\omega}$. Let $\psi \in C_0^\infty([-2,2])$ be nonnegative with $\psi|_{[-1,1]} \equiv 1$. We define

$$\mathcal{F}_x v(t)(\xi) = \psi(\xi)|\xi|^{-\omega}\mathcal{F}_x u(t)(\xi)$$

The function v solves the equation

$$\begin{aligned} v_t - |D|^\alpha v_x &= f \;\text{ in } (-T,T)\times\mathbb{R} \\ v(0) &= v_0 \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_x v_0(\xi) = \psi(\xi)|\xi|^{-\omega}\mathcal{F}_x u(0)(\xi)$ and

$$\mathcal{F}_x f(t)(\xi) = -\frac{i}{2}\psi(\xi)\xi|\xi|^{-\omega}\mathcal{F}_x u^2(t)(\xi)$$

For fixed t we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\|f(t)\|_{L^2_x} \le & c\|\psi(\xi)\mathcal{F}_x u^2(t)(\xi)\|_{L^2_\xi} \le c\|\mathcal{F}_x u^2(t)\|_{L^\infty_\xi}\\ \le & c\|u^2(t)\|_{L^1_x} \le c\|u(t)\|^2_{L^2_x}\end{aligned}$$

This shows

$$\begin{aligned}\|v\|_{L^\infty_T L^2_x} &\le c\|v_0\|_{L^2_x} + c\|f\|_{L^1_T L^2_x} \le c\|u(0)\|_{H^{(0,\omega)}} + cT\|u\|^2_{L^\infty_T L^2_x}\\ &\le c\|u(0)\|_{H^{(0,\omega)}} + cT\|u(0)\|^2_{H^{(0,\omega)}}\end{aligned}$$

□

REFERENCES

[1] J. Bourgain. Fourier transform restriction phenomena for certain lattice subsets and applications to nonlinear evolution equations. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 3(2/3):107–156/209–262, 1993.

[2] N. Burq and F. Planchon. On well-posedness for the Benjamin-Ono equation, 2005, arXiv:math.AP/0509096.

[3] J. Colliander, C. Kenig, and G. Staffilani. Local well-posedness for dispersion-generalized Benjamin-Ono equations. *Differential Integral Equations*, 16(12):1441–1472, 2003.

[4] J. Ginibre. Le problème de Cauchy pour des EDP semi-linéaires périodiques en variables d'espace [d'après Bourgain]. In *Séminaire Bourbaki. Volume 1994/95. Exposés 790-804*, pages 163–187. Société Mathématique de France. Paris: Astérisque. 237, Exp. No.796, 1996.

[5] A. Grünrock. A bilinear Airy- estimate with application to gKdV-3, 2001, arXiv:math.AP/0108184.

[6] A. Grünrock. Some local wellposedness results for nonlinear Schrödinger equations below L^2, 2001, arXiv:math.AP/0011157.

[7] S. Herr. Well-posedness for equations of Benjamin-Ono type. Submitted for publication, 2005, http://www.mathematik.uni-dortmund.de/∼herr.

[8] A. Ionescu and C.E. Kenig. Global well-posedness of the Benjamin-Ono equation in low-regularity spaces, 2005, arXiv:math.AP/0508632.

[9] C.E. Kenig and K.D. Koenig. On the local well-posedness of the Benjamin-Ono and modified Benjamin-Ono equations. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 10(5-6):879–895, 2003.

[10] C.E. Kenig, G. Ponce, and L. Vega. Oscillatory integrals and regularity of dispersive equations. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 40(1):33–69, 1991.

[11] C.E. Kenig, G. Ponce, and L. Vega. A bilinear estimate with applications to the KdV equation. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 9(2):573–603, 1996.

[12] L. Molinet and F. Ribaud. On global well-posedness for a class of nonlocal dispersive wave equations. Preprint.

[13] L. Molinet, J.C. Saut, and N. Tzvetkov. Ill-posedness issues for the Benjamin-Ono and related equations. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 33(4):982–988 (electronic), 2001.

[14] T. Tao. Global well-posedness of the Benjamin-Ono equation in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$. *J. Hyperbolic Differential Equations*, 1:27–49, 2004, arXiv:math.AP/0307289.

FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT DORTMUND, 44221 DORTMUND, GERMANY.
E-mail address: `sebastian.herr@math.uni-dortmund.de`